MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

TWENTY-NINTH DAY.

Tuesday, 31*st March* 1964.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Twelve of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given).

The number of Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Co-operative Societies that are working in Bangalore (Rural) District.

*Q.—79. Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA (Channapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Co-operative Societies that are working in Bangalore (Rural) District ;

(*b*) the share capital owned ; share capital, working capital, subsidy either granted by Government or financed by the D.C.C. Bank to each of these Societies since 3 years. (For 1960-61 1961-62 and 1962-63);

(*c*) the gross and net profits earned by each of these Societies for the last 3 years since 1960-61 ?

*A.—Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—

(*a*) Nine.

(*b*) and (*c*) *Vide* statement given below.

(SRI KONDAJJI BASAPPA)

STATEMENT

Sl. No.	Name of the Society	Share Capital				Share Capital from Government			
		1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Channapatna TAPCMS	12,000	2,080	1,710	15,790	70,000	10,000	25,000	1,05,000
2.	Magadi do	6,710	1,030	60	6,640	20,000	..	..	20,000
3.	Devanahalli do	11,306	315	..	11,621	20,000	..	..	20,000
4.	Nelamangala do	9,814	1,235	523	11,572	..	..	20,000	20,000
5.	Doddaballapur do	8,910	3,070	200	11,380	20,000	..	2,500	22,500
6.	Anekal do	7,420	180	130	7,730	20,000	..	..	20,000
7.	Ramanagaram do	7,434	550	185	8,170	20,000	..	..	20,000
8.	Kanakapur do	6,995	2,705	3,615	14,315	20,000	..	5,000	25,000
9.	Hosakote do	21,720	775	3,885	26,380	20,000	10,000	10,000	40,000

Sl. No.	Name of the Society	Working Capital				Managerial Cost				Godown subsidy	
		1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total	1960-61	1961-62
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
						(*Marketing*)					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Channapatna TAPCMS:	2,36,538	3,02,104	6,93,317	..	5,000	..	..	5,000	..	3,750
2.	Magadi do	66,998	57,305	61,719	..	2,000	1,666	834	4,500	(Processing)	
3.	Devanahalli do	83,306	1,08,306	1,38,300	..	1,000	500	..	1,500	2,500	..
						500	..	..	500	5,000	..
										2,500	
4.	Nelamangala do	68,631	2,61,434	2,65,000	..	6,136	378	..	6,545	5,000	2,500
										2,500	
										1,000	
5.	Doddaballapur do	72,836	1,16,956	1,04,489	..	500	..	..	500	5,000	2,500
										2,500	
6.	Anekal do	40,811	40,286	42,631	..	500	..	..	500	..	..
7.	Ramanagaram do	27,435	27,985	43,400	..	1,500	1,000	500	3,000	5,000	2,500
										2,500	
8.	Kanakapur do	37,500	41,500	1,74,700	..	1,000	500	..	1,500	5,000	..
9.	Hosakote do	1,91,025	2,19,527	3,59,286	..	1,000	1,500	..	2,500	..	..

Sl. No.	Name of the Society	Godown subsidy		Gross profit or loss				Net profit or loss			
		1962-63	Total	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	Total
		21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Channapatna TAPCMS ..	3,750	7,500	17,356	24,157	32,296	..	8,117	6,647	2,184	..
2.	Magadi do ..										
3.	Devanahalli do ..	..	2,500	3,711	329 (loss)	5,982	..	2,240 (loss)	6,553 (loss)	9,594 (loss)	..
		..	7,500	2,657	6,136	8,262	..	90	930	3,543	
4.	Nelamangala do ..	..	11,000	6,166	6,545	6,320	..	3,996	2,995	1,280	..
5.	Doddaballapur do ..	..	10,000	11,435	14,350	16,451	..	6,157	6,403	7,023	..
6.	Anekal do ..	..	..	2,746	9,074	6,355	..	..	3,416	5,002	..
7.	Ramanagaram do ..	..	10,000	8,400	3,827	6,536	..	5,900	2,059	1,925	..
8.	Kanakapur do ..	..	7,500	4,786	3,790	5,944	..	231	209 (loss)	2,475 (loss)	..
9.	Hosakote do ..	..	..	17,116	15,863	29,782	..	8,353	7,527	6,312	..

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—In answer to (*b*) it is stated here that the Channapatna Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Society is given the maximum assistance of Rs. 1,05,000 as against their share capital of Rs. 15,790 and the Hoskote Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Society is given Rs. 40,000 assistance as against their share capital of Rs. 26,380. May I know the policy of the Government in the matter of granting share capital, working capital, managerial cost and gowdown subsidy to the Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Societies in the State?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—So far as Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Society, Channapatna, is concerned we have given Rs. 15,000 towards marketing society share capital contribution and we have given similar loans to all the taluk marketing societies in the District of Bangalore. But to this society which has started a processing unit of rice mill we have given Rs. 60,000 share capital just for establishment of rice mill.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Is not the Magadi Taluk society having a processing unit of rice mill?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The Hoskote Taluk have started a manure mixing plan and we have given some more assistance.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that Magadi Taluk society has started a processing unit?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—They have just started and if they ask, they will also be given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಮೂರು ಲಕ್ಷ, ಎರಡೂವರೆ ಲಕ್ಷ ಹೀಗೆಲ್ಲ ವರ್ಕಿಂಗ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟರ್ ಇದೆ, ಹೀಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಾವಿರಾರು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ನಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ, ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ಡ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಮಾರಾಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಏಕೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It may be due to various reasons.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲ್ಡ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಅಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Except this rice mill which has been established, the others have not started functioning. They are engaged in the supply of fertilisers, agricultural implements, seeds, insecticides, etc.

Sri T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that Channapatna society is one of the few best societies in the State?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It is a fairly good working society.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—Is not the profit made by the Channapatna Society too low?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—During the year 1962-63 its profit is less because all their working capital was allowed for purchase of paddy which they could not dispose of till June. In the month of December 1963 this society has earned a profiit of Rs. 1,778 in the rice mill alone.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Is it not a fact that the Government have deputed certain officers of the Anti-Corruption Department to go into the affairs of the Channapatna Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Society?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Sir, does it arise out of this ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—No.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You were pleased to state that loss is due to the fact that this society has to deal with other commodities apart from the controlled commodities. When they have a margin of profit, what is the fundamental reason or what is wrong with the society to incur so much loss inspite of giving them managerial cost and subsidy ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It has not incurred any loss at all.

Sri B. J. LINGE GOWDA.—What is the percentage of net profit as compared with the working capital ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is purely mathematical work ; it can be done by the member himself.

Construction of a Sarvajanika Vidyarthi Nilaya in the Vokkaligara Sangha premises, Bangalore.

*Q.—101. Sri T. MADIAH GOWDA (Ramanagaram).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the Government entered into a contract with the Bangalore Vokkaligara Sangha to construct a 'Sarvajanika' Vidyarthi Nilaya in the Sangha premises and on what conditions ;

(*b*) what was the original plan and estimate of the said building ;

(*c*) what is the change of the plan, subsequently effected ;

(*d*) what is the amount actually expended to complete the building ;

(*e*) what is the extent and cost of the site given by the Sangha for the said purpose ?

A.—Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) During 1956. The construction of the hostel was sanctioned with the following conditions :

(1) the authorities of the Vokkaligara Sangha, Bangalore should follow the procedure specified by Government in General Hostel Schemes and should pay ¼ of the total cost amounting to Rs. 1 lakh from out of their resources.

(2) The General hostel should be constructed on the site selected to the south of the building.

(3) The construction of the hostel building be entrusted to the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore the work being executed departmentally calling for tenders.

(4) The building should be put up according to the designs approved by the Government Architect.

(*b*) The original plan contemplated construction of ground and first floors at an estimated cost of Rs. 3,80,000.